

# Pga e Rega 2022 2023

# Professional Acconnanc Paha2

### MSc

### Impotentdocmentpleae ead

This document contains important information that governs your registration, assessment and programme of study

## Contents

Imp	ortant i	information regarding the Programme Regulations	2
Alte	rnative	Assessments during the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak	3
1	Struc	ture of the programmes	4
2	Registration		
3	Recognition of prior learning and credit transfer		
4	Assessment for the programme		
5	Sche	me of award	8
Appen	dix A	±Module outlines1	10
Appen	dix B	±Assessment Criteria	11

#### Important information regar ding the Programme Regulations

Last revised 14 December 2021

As a student registered with the University of London you are governed by the current General Regulations and Programme Regulations associated with your programme of study.

These Programme Regulations are designed and developed by the University of London which is responsible for the academic direction of the programme. The Programme Regulations will provide the detailed rules and guidance for your programme of study.

In addition to Programme Regulations you will have to abide by the <u>General Regulations</u>. These regulations apply to all students registered for a programme of study with the University of London and provide the rules governing registration and assessment on all programmes; they also indicate ()-4s go 0.000008(on)3()-4(o)13(f Tm076ET2p0.0000)11(t)-4(r)-3(a)13(t)-4(i)88 5u.14 Tm0 g0 G[(()-4s go)11(

#### Alternative Assessments during the Coronavirus (COVID -19) Outbreak

In line with our current General Regulations, the University may offer you alternative assessments where necessary. This includes holding online timed assessments in place of written examinations, which are usually held at examination centres. Please note that this statement replaces any published information relating to assessments or written examinations in any of our materials

# Appendix A ±Module outlines

See the (i)-3.995(sp/rPn95 (he)13.995 ( )]TJETEMC (\$5 ( )]TJ98 (ul)5.996 (e )8.007 (sp/rPn5 (P)3.995 (ath)1

## Appendix B ±Assessment Criteria

#### Coursework and Project Assessment Criteria

This is an indicative description of expectations at each grade level. Overall grades will comprise qualitative and quantitative elements. The setting of questions, tasks and requirements and the accompanying marking scheme should take account of the criteria below.

% range	Grade Descriptor	Description
85 +	Outstanding Distinction	Work of outstanding quality, showing mastery of the subject matter with a highly developed and mature ability to analyse, synthesise and apply knowledge and theory. All objectives of the task are covered and work is free of errors. There is evidence of critical reflection and the work demonstrates originality of thought. Ideas are expressed with fluency and elegance. This work meets and exceeds the standard for distinction, as described in the 70-84 band, across all sub-categories of criteria: knowledge and understanding of subject; intellectual skills; capacity to solve more unusual or demanding scenarios involving application of deep understanding of the subject and its methods/techniques; research skills; use of research-informed literature and other scholarly practices.
70-84	Distinction	Produces work of exceptional standard, reflecting excellent understanding. Displays mastery of the subject matter, with notable critical awareness of current problems and/or new insights at forefront of the field. Shows excellent ability to select and apply appropriate and relevant methodologies/techniques/theories as well as the ability to evaluate methodologies critically. Deals with complex issues systematically and creatively8 (t)-4.0042385.5eh.99ly e

Programme Regulations 2022-2023 Professional Accountancy Pathway 2 (MSc )					
% range	Grade Descriptor	Description			
		additional reading.			
40-49	Fail	Demonstrates limited understanding and lacks the core knowledge of the subject area; lacking originality of ideas; limited application of analytical techniques; lacking commentary on methodology; limited critical analysis of data, little evidence of independent reading; adequate referencing and adequate bibliography; adequate structure and style; poor to moderate standard of presentation with errors of spelling, punctuation or grammar. Offers some appropriate analysis, but with some significant inconsistencies which affect the soundness of arguect ansspoor ncan3(i)5s.ctDar()-4nstrates			